IN THE HIGH COURT OF JUDICATURE AT BOMBAY

CIVIL APPELLATE JURISDICTION

CRIMINAL APPLICATION NO.7771 OF 2005

Niraj Kameshwar Tiwari .. Applicant

Versus

Union Territory of Dadra and

Nagar Haveli & Anr. .. Respondents

Mr.R.N.Sanghvi with Monica Sakhrani for applicant

Mr.R.M.Agrawal i/b. G.R.Agrawal for respondent No.1

Mr.S.S.Tatkare, A.P.P. for State.

CORAM : S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J.

DATE : 21st December 2005.

P.C.

. After hearing Mr.Singhvi, learned Counsel for applicant, in my view, it is not necessary to consider pleas raised in this application, more so, when trial court has refused to enlarge applicant on bail on account of pendency of investigation. On the own showing of applicant, now the charge sheet is filed after investigations are complete. In this view of the matter it will be for the applicant to move the learned Sessions Judge afresh for requesting him for enlarging him on bail and upon such application being made, needless to state that, trial court shall consider pleas raised therein and without being inflenced by any observations made in the earlier order.

(S.C.DHARMADHIKARI, J)